Candidate Information	
1. Candidate Name:	
2. Occupation:	
2. Occupation.	
3. Candidate for what office?	1
4. District #:	
	1
5. Are you an incumbent, challenger or is this an open s	seat?
Incumbent	
Challenger	
Open Seat	
6. Address:	
7. City and Zip Code:	
7. Sity and Zip Gode.	
8. Phone:	1
9. Email:	

Survey Questions				
10. How much do you believe state government contributes to a healthy economy and job creation?				
Not at all	Some	Entirely		
44 M/h at malayant aynanian a da	hava ta aama in tha Navy Mavina la siala	h		
11. What relevant experience do	you have to serve in the New Mexico legisla	ture?		
12. Have you ever owned your o	own business?			
Yes				
No				
13. Have you ever been respons	sible for funding a company's payroll?			
Yes				
No				
Comments				
14. Subject to review of actual le	egislation being considered, would you suppor	rt state legislation that		
	es from making laws that affect the employee			
Yes				
No				
Comments				

eliminating the loopho	of actual legislation being considered, would you generally support reducing or ble that allows employees to work for less then two full quarters, yet qualify and be unemployment benfits?
Yes	
No	
Comments	
-	of actual legislation being considered, would you support reducing Unemployment be more in line with neighboring states?
Yes	
No	
Comments	
dependence. Would y	iging behind most states due to its sluggish economic recovery and government rou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape or to strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?
dependence. Would y	
dependence. Would y and regulation in orde Yes No	ou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape
dependence. Would y and regulation in orde Yes No	ou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape
dependence. Would y and regulation in orde Yes No Comments  18. Recent court case system. Subject to rev	ou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape
dependence. Would y and regulation in orde Yes No Comments  18. Recent court case system. Subject to rev	rou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape or to strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  See have eroded the checks and balances of New Mexico's Workers' Compensation view of actual legislation being considered, would you support efforts to restore the
dependence. Would y and regulation in orde Yes No Comments  18. Recent court case system. Subject to rev integrity of the worker.	rou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape or to strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  See have eroded the checks and balances of New Mexico's Workers' Compensation view of actual legislation being considered, would you support efforts to restore the
dependence. Would y and regulation in orde  Yes  No  Comments  18. Recent court case system. Subject to revintegrity of the workers  Yes	rou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape or to strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  The strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  The strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  The strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?
dependence. Would y and regulation in orde  Yes  No  Comments  18. Recent court case system. Subject to revintegrity of the workers  Yes  No  No	rou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape or to strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  The strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  The strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  The strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?
dependence. Would y and regulation in orde  Yes  No  Comments  18. Recent court case system. Subject to rev integrity of the worker.  Yes  No  No	rou support a regulatory review of state agencies to eliminate unnecessary red tape or to strengthen opportunities to start or grow a business in New Mexico?  Shave eroded the checks and balances of New Mexico's Workers' Compensation view of actual legislation being considered, would you support efforts to restore the

Yes	
No	
Comments	
-	w of actual legislation being considered, should New Mexico support a Convention of
States to reduce an	d define the amount of federal government control over states?
Yes	
No	
Comments	
-	writing the New Mexico tax code or continuing to make new laws to address
deficiencies in the c	urrent tax code?
Rewrite New Mexic	co Tax Code
Rewrite New Mexic  Modify Current NM	
Modify Current NM	
Modify Current NM	
Modify Current NM Comment  22. Regarding the L	and Grant Permanent Fund distributions for education and subject to review of actua
Modify Current NM Comment  22. Regarding the L legislation being co	and Grant Permanent Fund distributions for education and subject to review of actuansidered, would you support maintaining distributions at current levels, lowering
Modify Current NM Comment  22. Regarding the L legislation being co- distributions or incre	and Grant Permanent Fund distributions for education and subject to review of actual
Modify Current NM Comment  22. Regarding the L legislation being col distributions or incre Lower	and Grant Permanent Fund distributions for education and subject to review of actual nsidered, would you support maintaining distributions at current levels, lowering
Modify Current NM Comment  22. Regarding the L legislation being codistributions or incre	and Grant Permanent Fund distributions for education and subject to review of actual nsidered, would you support maintaining distributions at current levels, lowering
Modify Current NM Comment  22. Regarding the L legislation being col distributions or incre Lower	and Grant Permanent Fund distributions for education and subject to review of actual nsidered, would you support maintaining distributions at current levels, lowering
Modify Current NM Comment  22. Regarding the L legislation being co- distributions or incre Lower  Maintain	and Grant Permanent Fund distributions for education and subject to review of actual nsidered, would you support maintaining distributions at current levels, lowering

23. Until New Mexico is deemed safer, it is difficult to attract new companies or maintain those that we have. Do you believe it is better to create stricter laws or enforce the existing laws to help strengthen public safety in New Mexico?				
Enforce	Neutral	Stricter		
	ne, New Mexico appears in the bottom ten states les and regulations to change the business enviro			
Less	Neutral	More		
25. Do you have any additional o	comments regarding your vision for NM?			